



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense

AMERICA'S NEWSPAPER AGAINST COMMUNISM

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

"The Truth, the whole Truth and nothing but the Truth!"

Without fear or favor,

Charles W. Bailey
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

Plain envelope, unsealed \$1.

Plain envelope, sealed \$3.

Foreign & Canada, (10 mos.) \$1.

Issue No. 372 (16th Year)

Oct. 1, 1961

Second Class Postage paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

FIVE CENTS

America At The Crossroads

A ONCE POWERFUL NATION BROUGHT TO ITS KNEES BY TRAITORS

By Lt. Gen. P. A. del Valle
United States Marine Corps (Ret.)
Veteran of Guadalcanal Battles

When a free and sovereign people find their elected servants in government, and their appointed advisors, following a course of action contrary to their oath of office, destructive of the Constitution they have sworn to uphold, and leading relentlessly to the loss of their freedom and sovereignty, they must perforce take the most effective action to restore sane, constitutional government, or perish as a free and sovereign people.

A government that aids and abets the enemies of freedom at home and abroad; recklessly spends the substance of the nation in the purchase of votes and in the pursuit of a pro-communist policy; punishes patriots who dare defy the communist enemy they are trying to shield, while giving position of power and pelf to those traitors who give aid and comfort to this enemy; employs the power of the press and all other communications media to deceive the people as to their real aims and objectives; behaves as though this nation were already but a province of the illegally joined United Nations; is unwilling or unable to meet the constant flow of crises provided by an arrogant enemy, yielding piece by piece the entire world into their ruthless hands; shows every sign of having yielded their powers to an unseen, irresponsible and thoroughly rapacious government within the government; then, even the bread and circuses no longer can hold the people in check. They must take matters into their own hands and force the traitors out of power and oblige their elected representatives to honor their oath of office.

In such case there are several courses of action open to the people. Political organizations to vote out the traitors and vote in the patriots is one. Pressure by personal appeals, petitions, letters, and telegrams is another. But the time comes when the enemy, feeling strong and secure enough, shamelessly ignores these efforts of the people, and makes ready to replace constitutional government with socialistic tyranny openly and quickly. Then there is yet another course of action left: The organization of a powerful, armed resistance force to defeat the aims of the usurpers and bring about a return of constitutional government.

The formation of such a nation-wide force, under the second article of the Bill of Rights, and for the legitimate purpose of preventing a communistic take-over of their beloved country, with the total loss of freedom, cannot fail to bring into being again that sovereignty which, under our form of government, is based upon the people. Government rules by the consent of the governed, and, when the governed refuse to consent to being betrayed, then beware! Those in government who have been loyal need have no fear. But when the day comes that the people, in the exercise of their constitutional rights and sovereignty once more take up arms as they did in 1776,



General P. A. del Valle

then must the traitors take flight. For the wrath of a free and mighty people, a God fearing people, against atheistic tyrants can be terrible and swift. I pray to God that courses one and two will suffice, but if they do not, then I pray to God that this last instrumentality be given His grace to discharge our obligation to defend those sacred rights He, and He alone, gave unto us.

"Turn Back"

The U.N. Derision

By Kenneth Goff

The truth is—

A U. S. plane was winging its way to a rendezvous with President Tshombe of Katanga. It was on its due course and shortly would have reached its destination, when an amazing order from the Chief of the U.N., Dag Hammarskjold, caused it to turn back. What precipitated the giving of this order by the head of the world government? The order that has now been sealed to mankind by Dag's sudden death; and by the application of sedatives to the lone survivor over a series of days, until his death, following his revealing of that order was "to turn back."

What a strange order to be given by a man who supposedly was on a mission of peace, and how strange that immediately following that order it seemed as though the very Hand of God smashed the plane into the hillsides, and proved once again that He is still ruler of the universe and holds the fate of man in His hands!

Truly, this air crash may have changed the course of history, and I would like to discuss these several points:

1. The turning back of the plane showed the unwillingness of Dag Hammarskjold to

complete peace negotiations with Tshombe, and may well have signaled his intention to send larger detachments of troops into the bloody Congo, to smash this pro-western Republic and then place a Communist at the head of the Congo Government. Let us be reminded that already the action by the U.N. had shocked the free world, by committing a ruthless act of aggression against the Republic of Katanga. The U.N. was established to promote and protect the right of self-determination of peoples and safeguard the independence of countries. On September 12, however, the U.N.'s troops toppled the freely elected government of Katanga, forcing President Tshombe to flee. I submit that the citizens of Katanga have as much right to a free independent and separate status from the rest of the former Belgian Congo as do any other peoples in the world. And there is no question that the actions of Dag Hammarskjold in this bloody invasion of a free country were definitely aiding the Communist cause by their attempt to place Antoine Gizenga, a Red, at the head of the government.

The crash therefore may have, for the time being, saved this independent nation and at least has brought into the open the facts concerning this shameful aggression. Senator Dodd has demanded a full-scale investigation into the Congolese crisis, and has pointed out the fact that it was these whom Dag intended to place in power that were responsible for the reign of terror, murder and rape against the white residents for the past two years in the Congo. If Katanga were placed in the hands of the Reds it would give the Soviet Government tremendous mineral outputs, for over 60% of the world's cobalt comes from this Province and nearly 8% of the world's copper.

2. The sudden death of Dag Hammarskjold on September 18 may have prevented the United States from going under complete world government control, for ever since June 29th, numerous Bills (fifty in number) had been introduced in both the House and Senate by left-wingers and one-worlders bearing the titles "Disarmament Act for World Peace and Security." Many of these went so far as to propose various treaties which would include world-wide disarmament; strengthening of the world court by by-passing the Connally Amendment, setting up of a world police state by absorbing various national armies and long-range steps towards world government; the handing over of our sovereignty; disarming our nation and merging our economy with that of Soviet Russia. Much of this material had been prepared, to be presented at the opening of the U.N., with the intention of saving her from her deplorable situation and making her (U.N.) truly a world government.

Under these Bills, the use of executive order on the part of the President, could have carried us on the way into a World Super-State. The perpetration of this plot could already be seen in the emergency powers granted to our Chief Executive,

—o— Please turn to page 2 —o—

"TURN BACK"

— Continued from page 1 —

through the Berlin crisis, which from the very beginning was a farce and was being used to frighten the citizens into supporting and passing certain legislations, which could not have been achieved under calmer circumstances. The drive was on. The U.S. on several occasions had now saved the U.N. from total bankruptcy, and was also underwriting its armed fiasco in the Congo. Now the hour had come, for virtually our complete surrender of sovereignty so as to save the U.N. and to strengthen its position.

All through the night of Sunday, September 17, patriots throughout our nation were storming our Congress with telegrams and phone calls to halt the passage of S.R. 2180 or H.R. 7936. These Bills which were being rushed towards passage in the closing hour of Congress and prior to Kennedy's appearing before the U.N., had within them complete dictatorial power in the establishment of a peace agency, with a director who would only be responsible to the President and Secretary of State. Further, it would permit the setting up of a private bureau of investigation which could carry on relations with Soviet Russia and other countries; could confiscate the arms of private citizens; could use the funds of private foundations; could have full access to the Atomic Energy Commission, and virtually would have the powers of full dictatorship.

Here again, the crash of a plane and the fighting will of a people may well have saved our nation from complete surrender to a world antichrist dictatorship. A token Bill, following the death of Dag Hammarskjold, was prepared more or less to show our determination for world peace, but it did not embody these surrenders of our rights. These two dangerous Bills are now still in the hands of the committees headed by Senator Mc Clellan and Representative Thomas E. Morgan, who will attempt to railroad them through the next session of Congress.

3. The death of Dag Hammarskjold which brought a crisis to the U.N. now has brought into light the fact that the present Administration has been working to allow the entrance of Outer Mongolia and Red China into the U.N. The Supreme Court Justice Douglas, on a trip to Russia, has now openly stated in Moscow that he is in favor of working for the admission of Outer Mongolia. The admission of either of these nations or both into the U.N., only strengthens the Soviet power.

4. The death of Hammarskjold now shows the weakness of a man and the fallacy of the U.N. to create world peace. It unmasks the U.N. for what it is—an instrumentality through which the Soviet Government seeks to seize world control at our expense and prestige. The confusion is great, and while we are paying over 50% of the bill and are being allowed only one vote, some nations as small as a quarter of a million people in Africa have just as much voting power as the United States.

We can now see the dangerous course that is presently being taken to which the Administration already seems half-heartedly committed—that through certain bargaining, East Germany may become a satellite state of Russia, and the U.N. headquarters, or a section thereof, be placed in Berlin, and Berlin becoming an internationalized city.

A weak sister by the name of Mongi Slim has been named President of the U.N., and his record shows that down through the years he has played piggyback with the Communists. Now Russia is demanding a four-member Secretariat which will consist of a Westerner, a Soviet, an African and an Asian member; and you can imagine who these will be and where the power will lie.

For years now we have been taught that the U.N. was formed to save us, and yet all it has been doing is spending our money

— ABOUT THE AUTHOR —



Kenneth Goff—former communist, author of many fine books exposing the reds and revealing their plans for a take-over of the United States. While a communist he was invited to White House by Eleanor Roosevelt.

and surrendering our precious liberties to save IT! If its so-called leadership were so brainy, why were they not prepared with a successor to Dag Hammarskjold? In his death it almost appeared as a one-man show. One asks: "Has the U.N. ever been an instrument of peace? Since 1945 there has never been a moment of rest without fighting and bloodshed on our globe. Mankind everywhere has been beating their plowshares into swords; civil war, revolutions and bloody conflicts between the nations have continued since the inception of the U.N., and through it all there has been only ONE victor—SOVIET RUSSIA. The Communist forces, through the protection of the U.N., have been able to conquer seven hundred and fifty million more people, and honeycombed and weakened the remaining of the earth's governments.

The U.N. has afforded at our expense, the Red Soviet a sounding-board through which they could propagandize the world. The U.N. has been a millstone around our necks, which rightfully we can see caused our defeat in Korea, Cuba and Laos. It has developed a fear within us that has caused us not to defend "our way of life," and has developed a false philosophy that the U.N., and IT alone, can preserve peace in the world, and has forbidden prayer to the only ONE Who can bring peace, the Lord Jesus Christ. It has caused us, as a Christian nation, to lean upon the arm of flesh; to have fellowship and break bread with the ungodly and the antichrist. It has caused us to belittle our heritage and to surrender our loyalty to Christ and nation. It has caused us to act like pygmies in an hour when we should be giants, and it is rapidly destroying everything that our founding fathers fought and bled and died for.

How long will a free people tolerate this tragic surrender? How long will we continue to underwrite this utter failure and still purport to be wise men? The time has truly come when we should unshackle ourselves from this antichrist burden and withdraw from the U.N. and order them and their spy system from our shores. Only then can we ask heaven's help and receive it.



GET THE U.N. OUT OF THE U.S.

PEACE by FORCE

European correspondent—Economic Council

COMMENTS ON HAMMARSKJOLD'S DEATH

Paris daily papers, from Right to Left, covering the death of Dag Hammarskjold, were filled with the mock piety of the usual obituary. To the logical, middle-of-the-road Frenchman, however, Mr. Hammarskjold's passing came like a burst of light and could be summed up as follows: "It is time for UN to crack. If his (Mr. H's) untimely demise will hasten the crack-up, we are all to the good."

Europe's last picture of him was that of the self-satisfied Secretary General, holding a cold chicken and whisky-soda garden party, in the cool hills outside Leopoldville, while the Ghurkas massacred the Katangans; the frantic scramble for legal arguments of justification, none of which held water, before the embarrassing meeting with the British Foreign Minister, Lord Lansdowne; the blatant lie, unblushingly handed out in America, that "even Tshombe's troops, except in cases where the latter are agitated by Foreign (French and Belgian inferred) adventurers, are on excellent terms with UN forces."

Dr. Coner Cruise O'Brien, the UN representative in the Congo, the man who put the match to the powder barrel, is well known in Paris, when he passed two years as Counselor of Embassy. Paris summing up of him: Zero. A man who had never conducted a complex negotiation was picked for the Congo job by Dag Hammarskjold last June because of his ideological fervor and for that only. O'Brien is forty-four; a militant-do-gooder. He made fiery speeches about independence for Cyprus and Algeria. His ideas of liberty, however, did not extend to Katanga, the last corner of sanity in the formerly well-run Belgian Congo.

The Congo job was O'Brien's first opportunity in a lifetime, of putting his theories into practice. He made the most of it. Tshombe, Katanga's leader, dared to disagree with him, a thing probably no African had ever done before. In his capacity as viceroy of Mr. H., i.e., world government, Dr. O'Brien saw such disagreement as treason.

"Tshombe is difficult. You cannot reason with him," O'Brien wrote. Moscow's man, Gizenga, and Mr. Adoula, being enemies of Tshombe, were accordingly "reasonable."

UN's "Peace Force," mainly supported by America as "a force to insure order and prevent bloodshed where the risk of bloodshed exists," thus, on O'Brien's arbitrary and illegal decision, became the cause of Katanga's bloodbath where risk of bloodshed was previously non-existent. That, briefly, is the European summing up of Mr. H's last operation.

O'Brien wanted to present his chief, Hammarskjold, with a fait accompli before the cold chicken and whisky party in Leopoldville, with Lumumba's lieutenant, Gizenga, safely installed in Tshombe's seat. Without waiting to see how his plan would turn out, he triumphantly announced, "The State of Katanga has ceased to exist." The now embarrassed British Consul in Elizabethville obligingly passed this word on to the Foreign Office.

In the days that followed, repugnance became Western Europe's principal emotion, with most disgust reserved for America's hypocrisy in refusing to face the truth; viz., that by unloosing the Katanga attack, UN was not preserving peace, but starting a civil war in the attempt to force a solution that was none of UN's business.

Khrushchev might well have had his eye on Coner Cruise O'Brien when he told the leaders of the communist world last December, "Do not alarm the West by meddling openly in Africa. Remain outside. Our best agents of subversion are the West's non-communist Left. Let them do the work for us."

Alerting All Americans!

Republished from—

ECONOMIC COUNCIL LETTER 512

By **Merwin Hart**, President,
National Economic Council

PRESIDENT Kennedy made his scheduled appearance before the United Nations General Assembly on September 25. He presented part of the "package" program for disarmament of the nations, arming of the UN and establishment of world law above our Constitution, which carefully leaked reports have promised over several months.

The important proposal was for abandonment of nuclear arms—and end of testing, of stockpiles transfer to other nations, of nuclear weapons in outer space, and of testing and production of missiles. Along with abandonment of nuclear weapons, the President recommended an increase of UN armed forces.



Merwin Hart

THIS is a strange farce. Nuclear weapons are our margin of power over the communist mass armies. We are now insisting the communists give up their disadvantage while we give up our advantage. That is like asking gangsters to insist on disarming the police.

The other elements of the package plan—total disarmament and world law—are left in suspension.

We offer the cynical suggestion that the disarmament-world government proposals were delayed by the unexpected presence of Congress in Washington and the flood of mail urging Congress to remain in session and keep watch over our national security.

Apparently Kennedy's speech had been carefully timed to take place when Congress was scattered to the home districts, and without a forum. But the best-laid plans of even the planners go aft agley.

The package program is unchanged. It will be put into effect, probably even with the same time table.

LET us remember. The program for disarmament and world government is not new. The collectivist elite proposed it in 1945. It is mandatory in the United Nations Charter. It was the subject matter of many international conferences and studies under Dean Acheson and Alger Hiss. The men who are directing the "new" plan are the same men who have directed the steps to world government over the last sixteen years—or new men trained by them. Our representative to the UN is Adlai Stevenson, the man who forced the Navy to put communist radio operators back on Navy ships in wartime.

Both Parties are involved. **Harold Stassen** and **John J. McCloy** have made disarmament appear bi-partisan.

We have almost no defense against this creeping conquest.

Under the collectivist interpretation of the treaty clause, anything put into a treaty or Executive Agreement by the Executive Branch is in force as law over the United States, anything in the Constitution or the laws notwithstanding.

That is simple fact, not denied by any competent person. The provisions of a treaty or Executive Agreement make possible total and complete remodeling of our Government, our political system, our economy and our laws, and the new system is automatically constitutional under the new doctrine. In other words, the treaty clause is a device for changing the Con-



stitution without submitting the change in the form of an amendment submitted to Congress and the people.

That is what the collectivist elite has used the treaty doctrine for, during all these sixteen years of successful campaigns to commit the United States Government to policies the people bitterly oppose. In other words, they are an army engaged in a campaign to overcome the will of their enemy, the American people.

THE people who wrote and worked against the planned surrender to the UN through disarmament have won a battle but not a war.

Do we have any positive evidence that this campaign is still going on day and night to impose world government and disarmament of the nations on an unsuspecting people? You shall be the judge.

Let there be no mistake about internationalism. Like Washington, Jefferson, Franklin and the Adamses, we are in favor of all reasonable international intercourse. We are opposed to internationalism by force and every form of international power, political, legal, economic or military, over our Constitution, our people and our country.

On September 21, **Chalmers Roberts** reported in the *Washington Post* the terms of an agreement on disarmament which the United States has made with the Soviet Union, and deposited as an official document with the United Nations.

This agreement provides as follows:

The goal is general and complete disarmament. It will be accompanied by procedures for "peaceful settlement of disputes."

Non-nuclear forces are to be sufficient for internal security only. For "international" security there will be a UN "peace force." (That is, the United States will be in the position of Katanga, permitted no forces strong enough to oppose the UN armies.)

All nations are to disband their own forces, close out bases, stop making arms, eliminate all stockpiles of nuclear chemical and biological weapons, stop production of advanced weapons and international missiles. They are to abolish military organizations and military training and end all military expenditures. This means abolishing West Point, Annapolis and the Air Academy.

(We suggest you read this paragraph again—and then again.)

All steps to disarmament of the nations will be "from beginning to end under . . . strict and effective international control," by an international disarmament organization. This international agency would have "unrestricted access" to the territory of member nations. (Will it be Irish and Swedish groups or Mongolian and Ghanian forces which occupy key positions in our America?)

The UN "peace force" would be built up

with manpower from the member nations. It must be strong enough to "deter or suppress" any threat or use of arms not sanctioned by the UN Charter.

These agreements are to be as wide as possible, and effective at the earliest possible date.

We repeat—this agreement, including abolition of national armies, transfer of trained manpower to UN armies, abandonment of weapons manufacture and military training, and adoption of procedures for "peaceful settlement of disputes" (enforced by UN armies) has been SIGNED by the United States Government, and formally submitted to the UN.

What did Congress do about it? How absurd! Congress had nothing to say about it. Congress gave way its powers and the necessary funds to the Executive Branch long ago.

What did the press say about this strange agreement? Not a voice of protest was heard in the land.

Do you think Congress will object? Congress has just passed a bill setting up a new agency with the innocuous title of "U. S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency for World Peace and Security."

That Agency has been given broad enough powers to do everything proposed in the American-Soviet agreement on disarmament and world government. The Executive had the powers before. Now it has specific Congressional approval, the right to come out in the open, and nice new funds.

This new Agency for disarmament is the culmination of years of effort, led presently by **Senator Hubert Humphrey**, to commit Congress to the thesis of disarmament and the propaganda campaign to Pavlov-ize the American people about "peace." In the House, 72 Members sponsored it.

THE disarmament Agency appears to be largely a research group, "studying" disarmament. You may think research in disarmament is research into detection of nuclear tests and the like. But look at the range of topics the new Agency intends to study. The section on research (S31) has thirteen sub-divisions defining fields of study. We mention a few:

Analysis of national budgets; levels of industrial production and economic indicators . . .

Control . . . of armed forces and armaments.

Training of scientists and technicians for control systems. (Not limited to technical controls.)

Economic and political consequences of disarmament . . . and reallocation of national resources. (Note well.)

Implications of national security policies for disarmament and of disarmament on national security.

Scientific, economic, political, legal, social, psychological, military and technological factors related to the prevention of war.

Most of the technical research is now being carried on by the Defense and State Departments and the Atomic Energy Commission. The Senate Hearings on the Bill include thirty-four pages of fine print, listing only the titles of unclassified studies now being carried on by established departments. The new Agency was obviously needed for some other purpose.

The President is empowered to transfer agencies, powers and funds from existing departments to the new Agency. This means the research on disarmament now being carried on by our armed forces can be transferred to the new Agency which speaks for one-worlders.

—o— Please turn to page 4 —o—

IS IT A CRIME TO BE ANTI-COMMUNIST?

The DOMINICAN REPUBLIC is a nation of three and a half million God-fearing men and women who hate communism. For many years the Dominican leaders have warned the western world of red aims and objectives throughout Latin America. They have pointed out the danger from communist infiltration.

At the same time, these Dominican leaders have moved ahead on their long-range program of economic growth and progress. Today the DOMINICAN REPUBLIC stands as a bulwark of strength in the Caribbean.

- It has no national debt.
- It has no international debt.
- It enjoys a balanced budget.
- Its currency is on a par with the U.S. dollar.
- Illiteracy has almost been eliminated.
- Health standards are of the highest order.
- U.S. companies alone have nearly a quarter of a billion dollars in plant investments in the DOMINICAN REPUBLIC.

The power and progress of the DOMINICAN REPUBLIC has made it the envy of other nations. It has been the target of vicious and acrimonious attacks by political factions in Venezuela. We believe these attacks by Venezuela leaders contributed to the breakoff of diplomatic relations between the United States and the DOMINICAN REPUBLIC. Do they think it a crime to be anti-communist?

How are these Venezuelan leaders masterminding the destiny of their own nation? Have they raised the economic standards of the country? What is the moral fibre of the Venezuelan people today?

"Nine Men Against America"

From a background of 35 years of experience on the bench—more than the combined experience of the nine Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court—Justice M. T. Phelps of the Arizona Supreme Court had this to say in a speech delivered in Phoenix:

"It is the design and purpose (of the Warren Court) to usurp the policy-making powers of the nation. By its own unconstitutional pronouncements it would create an all-powerful, centralized government in Washington and subsequent destruction of every vestige of states' rights, expressly and clearly reserved to the states under the Tenth Amendment of the Constitution.

"Regardless of what we as individuals may think about the justice or injustice of segregation, I hereby assert without hesitation or reservation that the decision (May 17, 1954) was not based upon logic or law . . . that the process followed in reaching the decision's conclusion violate all procedures of due process known to American jurisprudence.

"I honestly view the Supreme Court with its present membership and predilections as a greater danger to our democratic form of government and the American way of life than all forces aligned against us outside our boundaries. And I repeat again that if the court is much longer permitted to destroy states' rights by a process of attrition, as it has been doing, we will see Washington clothed with powers so strong that the people will be helpless to curb its tyranny over them as they (the Russian people) are in Soviet Union today."

Business Men

If you wish to help finance this crusade and do it in a way most advantageous to you, send us stamps—denomination 4 to 20¢—any amount. Our postage bill is enormous.

Alerting All Americans!

—o— Continued from page 3 —o—

Our military leaders may want to have a say in disarmament but they will not have the new technical research to back up their doubts.

WE say this "research" will soon become the greatest brain-washing operation in history. Perhaps that is one purpose of the transfer of technical military research to a new Agency—with trimmings.

The President now has power to establish liaison between this Agency and any UN disarmament agency. The President already has power to transfer any United States personnel, civilian or military, to UN or to any international agency except for war. But the UN peace forces will not be engaged in war when the UN sends armies to fight a people defending their homeland—that is peace, not war! So the President now has all necessary power to transfer our military and civil personnel to the UN for its "peace" forces.

Such powers will not be used openly for some time.

AS a result of the protest against world government and world armed forces, we may see a quick shift to regional pacts, thus transforming national armies into international armies by stages. Remember NATO and other regional pacts are completely under the UN Security Council.

In addition to this far-reaching "research" the new Agency is to use the USIA (United States Information Agency) to tell the story of our disarmament plans to foreign nations. It is time Congress made a thorough study of the extent and means by which we subsidize the press, radio, and scholarly publications of foreign countries to tell the story USIA (Edward R. Murrow) wants to tell.

The Agency also has the power to deal with representatives of other nations or international agencies on disarmament (including world law).

Finally it has power to formulate plans and preparations for the establishment and operation of inspection and control systems. The Senate Report said the new Agency could not carry on this last function without new legislation, but we do not believe it.

The final touch. The agency is to have a thorough security check of employees made by the FBI. If such complete study turns up any evidence of doubtful loyalty, what happens? The disarmament Agency must report that fact to the Security Office of the State Department!

It has come to our attention that many of those who wrote the Congress protesting the approaching delivery of American security to the UN, were told by their Senators and Representatives that Congress would never permit such weakening of the United States!

CONGRESS passed this shocking Bill without a murmur. The Senate passed the OECD Treaty, which is the economic arm of world government. It is considering treaties containing a specific provision for referring all disputes to the World Court (backdoor nullification of the Connally Amendment).

Congress believes it can and will prevent a surrender to world government and disarming the United States. Do you?

Our people are far ahead of our leaders. Congress can only be aroused to the danger by an angry people. They want to adhere to the Constitution. They have been brain-washed by the most insidiously clever group of power-seekers the world has ever known.

The people must take the fight into their own hands. If the Members of Congress are at home, go to see them. Write to your newspapers. Call your radio and TV stations. Watch the magazines—those for the people and those for special groups on any subject. You will find propaganda for disarmament of the nations, arming of the UN, and substitution of world law for our Constitution, in every one of them.

The collectivist elite is using every means of communication to the minds of the American people, to lull them to sleep, or indoctrinate them with Khrushchev's slogan "peace." We must travel as far and as fast.

There is not much use in attacking President Kennedy. If we did not have him, we would have Vice President Johnson, who follows the same trail. Attacks on Presidents in the past have always solidified the power of the collectivist elite. Such attacks are not smart.

Communistic, one world minds in the crowd surrounding the President have pushed this disastrous legislation through the Congress. Many elements have combined to deceive the Congress and the people into utter lack of realization of what has been going on.

But what Congress has done, Congress can undo. Let the people rise with one voice, and it will be undone.

Write your Senators and your Representatives, and any other Senator and Representatives you know.

Alert them to this treasonous plot. It is far along but it can be stopped.

With your help the fires of freedom can be rekindled.

- Third World War Recording -

LONG PLAYING RECORDS

SHOCKING EDUCATIONAL SPEECH

Delivered in the Willard Hotel, Washington, D. C. by Benjamin H. Freedman. Mr. Freedman is the only man living with the background and courage to make this address. Answers many questions that have been puzzling you.

Two 33 rpm 12 inch Records, four sides Parts 1 & 2, price \$5.00.

A number of patriots who have played these recordings have ordered three to ten to circulate among friends and play at meetings. Order yours today from Christian Educational Assn., Union, N.J.

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP

Statement of ownership, management etc., required by the act of Congress of Aug. 24, 1912, Mar. 3, 1933 and July 2, 1946, of Common Sense published semi-monthly, once July and August, at Union, N. J. for October 1, 1961.

1. The name and address of the publisher is: CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSOCIATION, 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. Editor, Conde McGinley, 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

2. The owner is: CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSOCIATION, 530 Chestnut St., Union, N. J. Stockholders: John G. Crommelin, Jr., Montgomery, Alabama, Hannah Cummings, Philadelphia, Pa., James Weis, Columbus, Ohio.

3. The known bondholders, mortgagees and other security holders owning or holding 1% or more of the total amount of bonds, mortgages, or other securities are: None.

4. The average number of copies of each issue of this publication sold or distributed, through the mails or otherwise, to paid subscribers during the 12 months preceding the date shown above was: (This information is required by the act of June 11, 1960 to be included in all statements regardless of frequency of issue) 89,500.

(Signed) Conde McGinley Sworn to and subscribed before me this 5th day of October, 1961, Phyllis L. Mocabee, Notary Public of N. J. My commission expires January 16, 1966.

READ and PASS ON

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50; First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00

100 Copies — 3.00

500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn.

530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office